

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	Э.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/627,771		07/28/2003	Isidro Hidalgo Carpintero	Q76470	4299	
23373	7590	12/07/2004		EXAMINER		
SUGHRU		N, PLLC NIA AVENUE, N.W.	WAMSLEY, PATRICK G			
SUITE 80		avint hvelvee, iv. w.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHING	GTON, D	OC 20037	2819	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
				DATE MAILED: 12/07/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/627,771	CARPINTERO ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Patrick G. Wamsley	2819				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE - External exte	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a representation of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion retore to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturely received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tte, cause the application to become ABANE	be timely filed)) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28	<i>July</i> 2003.	•				
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th	is action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
5) 6) 7)	4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) 1-15 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 July 2003</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)□	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119	·					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen	• •	□.	(570.140)				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		mary (PTO-413) ail Date				
3) 🛛 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06 or No(s)/Mail Date <u>07/28/2003</u> .		mal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/627,771

Art Unit: 2819

DETAILED ACTION

Election of Species

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

A first species depicted in Figure 2.

A second species depicted in Figure 3.

A third species depicted in Figure 4.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, at least claim 1 appears generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Drawings

Figure 1 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g).

Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.121(d)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because of the following errors.

Line 1: Change "arrangement" to -- arranged --.

Application/Control Number: 10/627,771

Art Unit: 2819

٠ ، ، ه

Line 4: Change "an electromagnetic" to -- electromagnetic --.

Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

Page 1, line 7: Change "are known" to -- is known --.

Page 1, line 21: Change "iris" to -- irises --.

Page 1, line 26: Change "coupling" to -- coupled --.

Page 1, line 30: Delete "said."

Page 1, line 33: Change "filter" to -- filters --.

Page 2, line 7: Change "characterise" to -- characterize --.

Page 2, line 12: Change "characterisation" to -- characterization --.

Page 2, line 30: Change "minimised" to -- minimized --.

Appropriate correction is required.

The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. U.S. Patent 6,559,740 to Schulz et al discloses a cross-coupled bandpass filter having a plurality of sequentially located resonator cavities interconnected by in-line couplers. U.S. Patent 5,812,036 to Estrada describes a filter comprising a plurality of resonator stages arranged such that at least one non-sequential pair of stages are physically adjacent. U.S. Patent 5,783,979 to Andoh et al provides a resonator device having a single window for coupling two pairs of resonator columns.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/627,771

Art Unit: 2819

U.S. Patent 5,512,906 to Speciale describes a system of densely packed resonant cavities configured in a matrix array. U.S. Patent 5,698,928 to Mang et al provides an array of piezoelectric resonators. U.S. Patent 4,547,748 to Ballato presents a matrix of selectable piezoelectric resonators.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patrick G. Wamsley whose telephone number is (571) 272-1814. The official facsimile number is (703) 872-9306.

Patrick G. Wamsh

December 1, 2004